THE PRINCIPLE OF INDUCTION

MARK FLANAGAN School of Electrical, Electronic and Mechanical Engineering University College Dublin

The Principle of Induction: Let a be an integer, and let P(n) be a statement (or proposition) about n for each integer $n \ge a$. The principle of induction is a way of proving that P(n) is true for all integers $n \ge a$. It works in two steps:

- (a) [Base case:] Prove that P(a) is true.
- (b) [Inductive step:] Assume that P(k) is true for some integer $k \ge a$, and use this to prove that P(k+1) is true.

Then we may conclude that P(n) is true for all integers $n \ge a$.

This principle is very useful in problem solving, especially when we observe a *pattern* and want to prove it.

The trick to using the Principle of Induction properly is to spot *how* to use P(k) to prove P(k+1). Sometimes this must be done rather ingeniously!



Problem 1. Prove that for any integer $n \ge 1$,

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

Solution. Let P(n) denote the proposition to be proved. First let's examine P(1): this states that

$$1 = \frac{1(2)}{2} = 1$$

which is correct.

Next, we assume that P(k) is true for some positive integer k, i.e.

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + k = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$$

•

and we want to use this to prove ${\cal P}(k+1){\rm ,}$ i.e.

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + (k + 1) = \frac{(k + 1)(k + 2)}{2}$$

Taking the LHS and using P(k),

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + (k + 1) = (1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + k) + (k + 1)$$
$$= \frac{k(k + 1)}{2} + (k + 1)$$
$$= \frac{k(k + 1)}{2} + \frac{2(k + 1)}{2}$$
$$= \frac{(k + 1)(k + 2)}{2}$$

and thus ${\cal P}(k+1)$ is true. This completes the proof.

Problem 2. Find a formula for the sum of the first n odd numbers.

Solution. Note that this time we are not told the formula that we have to prove; we have to find it ourselves! Let's try some small numbers and see if a pattern emerges:

$$1 = 1;$$
 $1 + 3 = 4;$ $1 + 3 + 5 = 9;$
 $1 + 3 + 5 + 7 = 16;$ $1 + 3 + 5 + 7 + 9 = 25;$

We conjecture (guess) that the sum of the first n odd numbers is equal to n^2 . Now let's prove this proposition using the principle of induction; call it P(n).

Our statement ${\cal P}(n)$ is that

$$1 + 3 + 5 + 7 + \dots + (2n - 1) = n^2$$
.

First we prove the base case P(1), i.e.

$$1 = 1^2$$

This is certainly true. Now we assume that P(k) is true, i.e.

$$1 + 3 + 5 + 7 + \dots + (2k - 1) = k^2$$
.

and consider P(k+1):

$$1 + 3 + 5 + 7 + \dots + (2k + 1) = (k + 1)^2$$
.

Taking the LHS and using P(k), $1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + (2k + 1) = (1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + (2k - 1)) + (2k + 1)$ $= k^2 + (2k + 1)$ $= (k + 1)^2$.

and thus P(k+1) is true. This completes the proof.

Note. Find a US flag and see if you can use it to prove this result another way which does not require induction. [**Hint:** Look at the stars!]

Exercise 1. Show that for all $n \ge 1$,

$$1^{2} + 3^{2} + 5^{2} + \dots + (2n-1)^{2} = \frac{n(4n^{2}-1)}{3}$$

Exercise 2. Show that for all $n \ge 1$, we have f(n) = g(n), where

$$f(n) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \dots + \frac{1}{2n-1} - \frac{1}{2n}$$

and

$$g(n) = \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \frac{1}{n+3} + \dots + \frac{1}{2n}$$

Problem 3. Show that 6 divides $8^n - 2^n$ for every positive integer n.

Solution. We will use induction. First we prove the base case n = 1, i.e. that 6 divides $8^1 - 2^1 = 6$; this is certainly true. Next assume that proposition holds for some positive integer k, i.e.

6 divides $8^k - 2^k$. Let's examine $8^{k+1} - 2^{k+1}$:

$$8^{k+1} - 2^{k+1} = 8 \cdot 8^k - 2 \cdot 2^k$$

= $6 \cdot 8^k + 2 \cdot 8^k - 2 \cdot 2^k$
= $6 \cdot 8^k + 2 \cdot (8^k - 2^k)$

Now since 6 divides $8^k - 2^k$ (by assumption), and 6 certainly divides $6 \cdot 8^k$, it follows that 6 divides $8^{k+1} - 2^{k+1}$. Therefore by the principle of induction, 6 divides $8^n - 2^n$ for every positive integer n.

Exercise 3. For every $n \ge 1$, define

$$S(n) = \frac{n^5}{5} + \frac{n^4}{2} + \frac{n^3}{3} - \frac{n}{30} \,.$$

Show that S(n) is an integer for every $n \ge 1$.

Problem 4. $n \ge 1$ circles are given in the plane. They divide the plane into *regions*. Show that it is possible to colour the plane using two colours, so that no two regions with a common boundary line are assigned the same colour.

Solution. Call the proposition P(n). Let the two colours be B and W. For n = 1, the result P(1) is clear; if there is only one circle, we may colour the inside B and the outside W, and this colouring satisfies the conditions of the problem.

Assume the result P(n) holds for n = k circles; so we know that for any k circles there is a colouring which satisfies the conditions of the problem. An example for 3 circles is shown below.



Next consider k + 1 circles $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{k+1}\}$. Ignoring the circle C_{k+1} , we now have k circles $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$. By the P(k) assumption, there is a colouring of the plane which satisfies the conditions of the problem; we colour the plane according to this colouring. Now we add the circle C_{k+1} back into the picture, as shown below for the example at hand:



To obtain a new colouring, we do the following:

(a) for any region which lies *inside* C_{k+1} , *do not change* its colour.

(b) for any region which lies *outside* C_{k+1} , recolour it into the *opposite* colour.

The result of this colouring is shown below for the example at hand:



Now we may check that the new colouring works:

(i) two neighbouring regions whose boundary lies *inside* C_{k+1} have different colours (by P(k) assumption);

(ii) two neighbouring regions whose boundary lies *outside* C_{k+1} have different colours (by P(k) assumption, and the fact that we recoloured *both* colours on either side of the boundary);

(iii) two neighbouring regions whose boundary lies on C_{k+1} have different colours (due to the fact that these colours were the same initially, and *one* of them was then recoloured).

This shows that P(k+1) is true, and so by the principle of induction, the proof follows.

Problem 5. 2n points are given in space, where $n \ge 2$. Altogether n^2+1 line segments ('edges') are drawn between these points. Show that there is at least one set of three points which are joined pairwise by line segments (i.e. show that there exists a *triangle*).

Solution. The proposition (let's call it P(n)) holds for n = 2(why?). Assume that the proposition P(n) is true for n = k, i.e. that if 2k points are joined together by k^2+1 edges, there must exist a triangle. Now consider P(k+1): here we have 2(k+1) = 2k+2points, which are connected by $(k+1)^2 + 1 = k^2 + 2k + 2$ edges. Take a pair of points A, B which are joined by an edge (there must be such a pair, otherwise there are no edges connecting any of the points!). The remaining 2k points form a set which we will call S. Let's focus on the set ${\mathcal S}$ for the moment. If there were at least k^2+1 edges in ${\cal S}$, then there would have to be a triangle in here (using the P(k) assumption). Of course there could be $\leq k^2$ edges in S; let's suppose this is the case. But if this were true, it would mean that there are at least 2k + 2 edges in the other part of the graph, i.e. connecting A and B to each other and to the points in S. Discounting the edge AB gives at least 2k + 1 edges which connect from A or B into S. But we notice that if P is a point in \mathcal{S} , then P can be connected either to A or B, but not both (or a triangle PAB would be formed!). Therefore the maximum number of edges connecting from A or B into S (without forming a triangle) is 2k. This contradiction proves that P(k+1) must be true.

Note. If we have 2n points and *exactly* n^2 edges, it is possible to *avoid* making a triangle. This is done by breaking the set of points into two subsets \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} which contain n points each, then connecting every point in \mathcal{X} to every point in \mathcal{Y} . This is illustrated below for the case n = 4.

